

## Key Issues for Portland Harbor RI, BRA, and FS

(adapted from E. Blischke's email of 11-27-2007)

DRAFT

Topic	High Priority?	Notes
<b>BERA:</b>		
Evaluation of TZW: How should TZW be evaluated in the BERA? What else should be done beyond a comparison to AWQC?	√	
WOE evaluation for the ecological risk assessment.	√	
Application of predictive models for benthic toxicity	√	
Use of SQGs in SLERA		
Refinement of the CSM, Assessment Endpoints and Measurement Endpoints	√	
ERA Effects Assessment (e.g., TRVs)		
ERA Exposure Assessment (e.g., exposure areas).	√	
Use of SWACs in risk assessment.	√	Needs clarification. Is this a risk assessment or PRG/AOPC issue?
Site boundary definition		
<b>BHHRA:</b>		
Direction on diver and breastmilk scenarios		
Evaluation of TZW	√	
Use of SWACs in risk assessment.	√	Needs clarification. Is this a risk assessment or PRG/AOPC issue?
Clam consumption scenario	√	
<b>RI:</b>		
Evaluation of subsurface sediments. Is this evaluation performed everywhere or only in areas identified as erosional?		
Integration of upland information		Needs clarification. Does this relate to source identification, AOPC-specific CSM, and/or loading analysis?
Evaluation of upriver data		Needs clarification. Site boundary? Upstream source ID? Background?
Background (methods, application)	√	
<b>FS:</b>		
Development of PRGs and AOPCs/SMAs	√	
Food Web Model parameterization and application.		
Development of BSAFs. Improving reliability of BSAFs		
Hilltopping and other measures of estimating post cleanup EPCs	√	
Fate and transport/hybrid model		
<b>Data Presentation:</b>		
Use of Screening Levels and PRGs		
Magnitude of Risk Presentation		
Chemicals presented in maps figures	√ (possibly)	Discussion needed on possible early identification of (and agreement on) COI list for use in RI data presentation, F&T modeling, and PRG/AOPC/SMA process